

The regular meeting of the Board of Health was called to order at 7:30 p.m. Present for this meeting were: Tony Berberabe, Tracy Carluccio, Les Hamilton, Bogdan Slecza, Pauline Serafin, Charles Van Horn, and David Wang-Iverson. Larry Tatsch was absent. Also present: Board Secretary Christine Rosikiewicz and Dan Wyckoff from the Hunterdon County Division of Public Health.

In compliance with the Open Public Meetings Act, this meeting was advertised as a regular meeting in the January 28, 2016 issue of the Hunterdon County Democrat. Notice of the meeting was forwarded to the Township Clerk's office, posted on the Township bulletin board and sent to the Hunterdon County Democrat on April 13, 2016.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS/AGENDA REVIEW:**

Under Education and Health Issues –

Hunterdon County Division of Health LINC: 5/15/16 Public Health Update – Zika Virus Phone Script Update 4-15-16

4/19/16 E-mail from Tax Collector re: 2016 Tax Bill Mailing

Under Bills of the Evening:

Remove voucher for Mr. David Case

Add voucher for Harold Anderson Trust – Refund of Unused Fees for Soil Log Witnessing–B 14 L 26 for \$200

**PRESENTATION OF THE MINUTES** –March 15, 2016

**Mr. Van Horn made a motion to approve the March 15, 2016 minutes; Mr. Wang-Iverson seconded the motion. All were in favor; the motion passed.**

**OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

**Mr. Van Horn made a motion to close to the public. Mr. Wang-Iverson seconded it. All were in favor; the motion passed.**

**UNFINISHED AND NEW BUSINESS**

A. Hunterdon County Division of Health and Safety – Inspector's Report

1. Owens (aka Woody's) B 16, L 11 – update

Mr. Wyckoff reported that there have been no updates received on this item. The remediation firm has submitted the paperwork to the DEP and is awaiting an approval from DEP so they can compose a no action letter.

2. NOV 9/3/14 B 15.01 L 2 – update

This NOV is for the Speedway/Hess/ Dunkin' Donuts situation. The court day is set for May 16<sup>th</sup> at 4:30pm. Mr. Wyckoff spoke with the owner of Dunkin' Donuts and received the impression there is going to be a counter suit between Speedway and Dunkin' Donuts as Speedway would like Dunkin'

Donuts to replace the septic system. The County has requested pump receipts from the engineer but they have not been provided for March or April. E-mails and phone calls to the engineer have not been returned. Mr. Wyckoff is working with his supervisors to determine what action to take. Ms. Carluccio asked if this would all come up at the court date. Mr. Wyckoff said it would all be part of the first hearing. The County will question if the pumping has stopped. Mr. Wang-Iverson asked if the County has the authority to close down the business. Mr. Wyckoff said the County didn't. He believes an injunction to close the business until this is resolved could be requested before the court date. Mr. Wyckoff said there does not seem to be evidence of another breakout but there is still an agreement to pump monthly.

Ms. Carluccio recalled when the application for the septic system alteration was being heard the Board specifically asked the representative from Hess if the property changing hands would affect installation of the new system and was told that the change of ownership would not affect it. Maser Consulting was the engineer for Hess and continues to be the engineer for Speedway.

Mr. Wyckoff said the County is monitoring the site for breakouts and will be persistent and insist on the monthly pumping of the tanks between now and the court date.

Ms. Serafin inquired why Dunkin' Donuts is involved and being asked to replace the septic system by Speedway. Mr. Wyckoff said the action the County has to take is with the owner of the septic system, which is Speedway. Dunkin' Donuts is leasing the property from Speedway and the lease does not appear to have anything to do with the septic system. Dunkin' Donuts is the business that is causing the heavy strength waste coming out of the building. Sugar, milk, and coffee are very strong sewage waste which is why it took a long time for DEP to approve the new system; the DEP had to insure it was sized properly to accommodate the strength of the waste. Ms. Carluccio said the Board of Health will not get involved with Dunkin' Donuts as the County filed the summons against Speedway.

Mr. Van Horn asked Mr. Wyckoff if he knows anything about a notice posted on the building on the southeast corner of Linvale Rd. near Route 31 regarding environmental cleanup being conducted on the site. Mr. Wyckoff was not aware and offered to find out.

## B. Preview Committee

### 1. Septic System Alteration Application B 17 L 33.02

Erica Busch approached the dais and introduced herself. This application is for a repair of an existing septic system for a three bedroom dwelling with no expansion. The Board members received copies of the application in their packets and there were printed drawings available for the Board's review. The Preview Committee previously reviewed the application.

Ms. Busch explained that a waiver is being sought for the Township requirement that the toe of the mound be 25 feet away from the property line. The County requirement is ten feet. The ten foot requirement is met but not the 25 foot Township requirement. Ms. Busch referred to the letter she had composed to the Board explaining the waiver request. Ms. Busch had supplied the Board with this letter earlier in the day as she did not believe she could attend the meeting tonight. She

expressed that she understands why it is sometimes necessary to want the toe of the slope further from the property line because of grading reasons and aesthetics. Ms. Carluccio said the Board is concerned about drainage. Ms. Busch said in this case the mound is on the very highest spot on the property. Any water that falls on it will not go uphill off the property. Ms. Busch said that the slope being fifteen feet closer should have no adverse effects on the adjoining properties with regard to drainage. If the system is moved to comply with the twenty-five foot requirement the whole bed would be moved twenty feet closer to the house and make it difficult to use the backyard and install a pool. Ms. Busch commented that no one wants to see a big mound. There is a big wall of mature pine trees behind where the mound will be. The neighbor to the side has trees and a garage along their property line blocking the view of the mound from their dwelling. She provided an aerial photo of the property to the Board. If it was a new construction the 25 foot requirement could be met and planned for. She does not believe the Board would be wrong in granting this waiver [for this existing home].

Mr. Van Horn commented that there seems to be plenty of space to allow for the 25 feet as the backyard is large. Ms. Busch said the system could have been made long and narrow but the mound would then be higher, six feet instead of four, as the bed has to be parallel to grade. Mr. Van Horn said moving the reserve area and mound closer to the shed would provide for the 25 feet. Ms. Busch said there were 8 soil logs and 4 pit bail tests performed. The depth for the soils was the best where she is showing the system to be installed. She commented on wanting the system to work. The soils were shallower and tighter as they get closer to the house. She believes the system "engineering wise" is in the best spot.

Ms. Carluccio said the Preview Committee reviewed the soil logs and pit bails. Comments were exchanged on the quality of the soil logs. Ms. Carluccio said a reserve area is not required by Township ordinance for a septic alteration on an existing home. Ms. Busch said the reserve area would be encroaching on the shed. Suggestions were made by the Board to move the system 15 or 20 feet to the left, which wouldn't be as far as the shed, and asked if that was possible. Ms. Busch said she would still need a waiver for the side yard as then there would not be enough room for a pool, the system would be closer to the well, and the soils aren't as good. She commented on soil log #3 getting "pretty tight at the bottom". The neighbor to the south reported to Ms. Busch that the soil on his property is shallow. The quality of the soil isn't as good as you go down the hill. Ms. Carluccio said the quality of the soils is the most compelling reason for the system to be located there to be able to have a functioning system. The shed and pool are not Board of Health considerations. The Board tries to adhere to its regulations while utilizing the best location in terms of treatability and permeability. Ms. Busch said if the regulation is because of the drainage the system is located at the highest part of the lot and it will have no impact on the adjoining properties to the back or to the side. Mr. Van Horn said since the reserve area isn't needed he cannot see why the system can't be moved fifteen feet. Ms. Busch said if the system is moved then soil logs 7 and 8 will need to be used, they were a lot shallower, therefore the mound will be a lot higher and further into the yard.

Mr. Wang-Iverson and Ms. Carluccio asked Ms. Busch to show on the drawing where the toe of the slope is. A waiver is needed for both sides. Ms. Busch would like to place the system as close as possible to pit bail #1 and soil log #1. As you go further the last log is not as good. Soil log #6 was 56 inches deep so the mound would be six feet out of the ground which Ms. Busch remarked is high. Mr. Wang-Iverson inquired about soil log #2. Ms. Busch replied that soil log #2 was 85 inches. Mr. Wang-Iverson inquired about the quality of soil log #2 noting that the system would only need to be

moved a small distance. Ms. Busch said if the system moved closer they may not be able to get the depth that they can get where proposed and commented on wanting the system to work noting that deeper is always better. Mr. Wang-Iverson confirmed with Ms. Busch that the mound as configured now is four feet high and if it is moved fifteen feet in either direction the mound will be six feet high. Ms. Carluccio said this is a consideration as there is a concern about erosion. Ms. Busch commented on the logistics of cutting grass on a six foot mound.

Mr. Hamilton inquired about the possibility of an alternative system.

Ms. Carluccio asked Mr. Berberabe if he had any comments from the Preview Committee review. He commented that using the good soils is the primary reason to not move the system. Ms. Carluccio said the Preview Committee reviewed the soil logs and pit bails.

**Mr. Van Horn moved to approve the application for this system based on the soil conditions. Mr. Hamilton seconded the motion. All were in favor; the motion passed.**

Mr. Van Horn asked that the minutes clearly reflect why this exception was made even though there was so much land there. Ms. Carluccio said that the application is approved with the waiver as outlined in the County letter as follows:

*The toe of the mound will be only 10 feet from the property line which does not meet the minimum 25 foot setback requirement set by East Amwell Township ordinance. The actual disposal bed boundaries will still be 25 feet from the property lines.*

2. 3/8/16 Report from Princeton Hydro re: The Ridge at Back Brook – Review of December 2015 Sampling Event

Mr. Van Horn inquired who has the authority to make the decision. Mr. Wang-Iverson said that Planning Board does.

Mr. Van Horn said he would say the Board passes a recommendation that the requirement for testing not be sunset. He commented that this is only a recommendation as the Board does not have the authority to say that “it will not sunset”. The Planning Board will make that decision but the Board can make a recommendation to the Planning Board tonight.

Mr. Hamilton agreed but said there should be reasons why the recommendation was made attached to it. Mr. Van Horn said these were already discussed.

Ms. Carluccio said the Board should realize that when this plan was developed the Ridge came to the Board of Health and the Board of Health voted on the plan at a public meeting. The Planning Board wanted the Board of Health to do this and provide input. Mr. Van Horn said the Planning Board could be given the input that the Board of Health does not want the testing to sunset. Mr. Carluccio reiterated that the actual plan was voted on by the Board of Health because the Planning Board wanted the Board of Health to vote. The plan was discussed at more than one Board of Health meeting. Dr. Souza said the 2001 document provides the whole history of pre-development, pre-approval of the construction, and what was approved going forward.

Mr. Van Horn said the Board could take a vote that the testing not sunset. The reasons could be put in writing and forwarded to the Planning Board. He said that would be the vote.

Ms. Carluccio said that the Board of Health should consider seeing the actual finalized plan. Mr. Van Horn said the Board of Health needs to just say they oppose sun setting the testing. Ms. Carluccio thanked Mr. Van Horn for his suggestion and commented favorably on it. Mr. Van Horn said the Board needs to take action. Ms. Carluccio agreed but believed the Board should discuss it and indicated that she had some questions.

**Mr. Van Horn moved that the Board of Health go on record opposed to the sunseting of the testing at the Ridge of Back Brook. Mr. Hamilton seconded the motion. All were in favor; the motion carried.**

Dr. Souza said to Ms. Carluccio that the revised sampling plan was submitted December 17, 2015. He recalled that there had been discussions prior to that. Dr. Souza provided his suggested changes and they came back and accepted his changes.

Ms. Carluccio asked whom the plan was submitted to. Dr. Souza believes it went to the Planning Board. Ms. Carluccio said this plan was not given to the Board of Health. The report the Board received in March was the first one they have seen; they have been provided verbal reports from Mr. Wang-Iverson. All the Board knows is what is in the March report.

Dr. Souza said there is a plan in the report. Ms. Carluccio commented negatively on the Board of Health not having this plan as they could have voted on it tonight. Dr. Souza said the plan has been revised and in the revised plan the Ridge included all the changes Dr. Souza recommended. Ms. Carluccio commented favorably on this. Dr. Souza commented that he didn't see anything wrong with the Board not letting the testing sunset. Dr. Souza commented on the cost of the testing. Mr. Hamilton said the cost of the testing should not matter to the Planning Board or the Board of Health. Mr. Van Horn said he does not believe the Planning Board makes decisions based on cost; there was agreement on this. Cost may be a reasonable concern to the Ridge.

Dr. Souza said the Ridge's request has been that they have demonstrated consistently that they have not caused a problem so why do they need to continue submitted "non detect" data. The variability in the stream data has nothing to do with the golf course. Mr. Van Horn said he could understand from the Ridge's point of view why they are requesting this. He commented that this is not common with other golf courses and the Ridge has this to support their position.

Ms. Carluccio referred to #5 on the report. She asked about nitrates and total phosphorus which will continue to be collected and analyzed. For the stream sampling they will continue to monitor for ph dissolved oxygen and temperature in situ. She asked if this means the TSS will no longer be sampled for. Dr. Souza said TSS will continue to be sampled for. Ms. Carluccio noted it was not listed. Dr. Souza said he will make sure it is added. Ms. Carluccio inquired about the TKN. Dr. Souza said ammonia, nitrogen, and the TKN was not showing anything so he can support dropping this testing. He explained that ammonia would go up if there were zero DO conditions, primarily in the stream, and that is not happening. TKN is total keldon nitrogen, a biologically easily assimilated form of nitrogen that they are not really seeing. Dr. Souza said the testing was done originally so they could look at total nitrogen as there is no easy way to measure total nitrogen in stream water.

The numbers for TKN, ammonia, and nitrate need to be added up which gives you a TN number. The TN was compared to the TP numbers because those ratios can provide a better insight into the degree of eutrophication. Clearly the stream is phosphorus; it's a phosphorus dominated stream. As phosphorus numbers go up productivity goes up. The nitrogen is not as relevant as it is a secondary nutrient. The relationships Dr. Souza thought he might see if there were problems with the golf course were not being seen.

Ms. Carluccio inquired about the wells. Dr. Souza said ammonia has never been detected. TKN in MWB has been variable but typically non-detect. Ammonia was never detected in MWC. TKN has been non-detect for five events in MWC and six events in MWB. Dr. Souza commented that the TKN numbers are not that high; the nitrate numbers are much higher.

Ms. Carluccio referred to #6 and asked if the sentinel pesticides are going to change if the products used are changed. Dr. Souza said this is for the primary products being used now and probably going forward. Dr. Souza believes the Planning Board and Board of Health has the right to weigh in on this and ask if the Ridge deviates from these products they let the Township know that they are substituting something out and to make sure they sample for the new product. He does not believe this is justification enough to continue sampling. A hit would be justification for continued sampling. If there is an overriding concern to have this "insurance policy" in place he can support that. He explained that he was charged with looking at the proposed sampling program and to comment on it. He is not challenged the proposed sunset but did challenge the frequency sampling and some of the parameters regarding this.

Ms. Carluccio said there was a lot of discussion about the parameters needed to change as sampling needs to be done for what is being used on the course and noted that new products come out all the time. Mr. Mulhall testified about this and said that due to the Ridge always wanting to improve what they are doing and use target products as conditions change the Ridge wanted to be able to change what they use on the course and "not have it set in stone" so when the Ridge changes what they are using the list of sentinel pesticides being sampled for should also change. Dr. Souza said the Board should be clear that testing is being done on the primary products being used. Ms. Carluccio commended that there could be a heavy use of a certain fungicide but it doesn't move very well. Dr. Souza said there are a number of different parameters that are looked at including water solubility. With the exception of the triadimefon all the rest have low water solubility. Triadimefon is moderate. Dr. Souza expressed that he is most concerned with mobility, the majority of these products are slight to moderate, they don't move very quickly which is why sampling shouldn't be done the week after they are used. Some of the products have very low leachability but others are very high. If the products are applied and they are over irrigated or there is a lot of rainfall some of these products have the potential to be leached from the soil and then mobilized. Product can adhere to a sediment particle and can be transported in that mode as well as in a soluble mode. Most of the products are not persistent; this is good as they have a short half-life and break down very quickly. The only one that is persistent is Trinity which was recently added, this is triticonazole. Chlorothalonil has a low impact to water but is heavily used so it should be tested for. It is the most heavily used and relied upon product for all golf courses in New Jersey.

Mr. Wang-Iverson inquired about changes in chemicals used. Dr. Souza said if any products are changed the Ridge should advise the Township; this should be part of the agreement. Mr. Van Horn read from 3/8/16 e-mail from Dr. Souza as follows:

*We will review the list of pesticides used each year during the interim three-year monitoring period. The pesticides will be sorted and ranked with respect to overall use and their potential to impact water. The five pesticides with the greatest potential to impact water.....*

Dr. Souza said this information is not submitted to him; he only reviews the top five pesticides in terms of amount and usage. Mr. Hamilton commented negatively on this. He said The Ridge is asking to reduce the frequency of monitoring, which he agrees with, but commented that the Township doesn't know what pesticides are being used. He does not agree with sunseting the requirement to test. He questioned how the Township knows everything is okay if a professional isn't looking at it "once in a while". Dr. Souza said this information could be sent to him; it is required The Ridge submit it to the state annually. Mr. Hamilton suggested this information be sent to the Board of Health or Planning Board and Dr. Souza be asked to review it. Dr. Souza said the data is supposed to go to the Planning Board and then to him. Typically M2 Associates sends the report to Dr. Souza at the same time he sends it to the Planning Board. The report is addressed to Joel Moore but forwarded to the Planning Board. This report includes the five chemicals and the stream sampling. The State report lists all the chemicals.

Ms. Carluccio would like The Ridge to submit a copy of the treatment report that they submit to the state to the Planning Board. Mr. Hamilton said the Planning Board could then give the Board of Health a copy of this report. Ms. Carluccio said all reports should be copied to the Board of Health. She said it needs to be very clear that if a different chemical is used and increases enough to be tested that this new chemical would be substituted in the testing.

Ms. Carluccio said TSS needs to continue to be monitored. Dr. Souza believes it is being monitored although it is not in the plan.

Ms. Carluccio asked the Board for their opinion on testing every three years and how important testing frequency is. She is in favor of annual testing because a problem can spike and go away in a three year period and it would be unknown that the problem ever occurred. Mr. Hamilton said Dr. Souza brought this up at the Planning Board meeting and commented on balancing what the Board wants and The Ridge is doing. There needs to be proper controls in place so it is known what The Ridge is doing.

Ms. Carluccio reiterated that she would support annual monitoring and asked the Board's opinion on this. She would like to consider a motion on this and the other three items. Mr. Wang-Iverson said he thinks it's hard to justify this based on the data the Board has. For sixteen years the nutrients seem independent of what is going on at the golf course and the pesticides are essentially non-detect throughout this period. He thinks it's hard to justify making the Ridge continue to test on an annual basis. He thinks we do need to stay in touch and make sure we are on top of what their current protocol is in any given year but he thinks the data supports a three year interval. He agrees with the sunset, he is not comfortable with it as he thinks there are a lot of issues with it. He thinks the three year testing interval can be supported based on the data we have.

Mr. Van Horn said he supports the three year testing interval based on the data; he does not believe annual testing is justified.

Dr. Souza said he just checked, The Ridge's position is to drop TSS testing. He said he can support having it continue and noted that it costs \$25 a sample. Dr. Souza said it would be interesting to track TSS with respect to TP.

**Ms. Carluccio said she has a motion that The Ridge submit a copy of the annual state treatment report to the Planning Board, that all reports to the Planning Board be submitted to the Board of Health, that TSS continues to be monitored for, and to insure that the sentinel pesticides change based on the protocol being used at the facility. Mr. Hamilton said he would move this. Mr. Wang-Iverson seconded the motion. The motion passed with one opposed vote.**

Mr. Wang-Iverson said he asked the Planning Board Administrator to check with Mr. Mulhall to make sure the Planning Board has a complete set of reports. Dr. Souza said there were a few years where testing was not done. Mr. Mulhall provided Dr. Souza with summary tables which show what was sampled and when. Ms. Carluccio asked if this information would be made available. Mr. Wang-Iverson said it would be. Ms. Carluccio confirmed that this information would be made available to the public and commented on the importance of this. Mr. Wang-Iverson said he wanted Dr. Souza to go through all the data and compile a report so someone could go through and read Dr. Souza's review to get the overall picture of the testing and results over the sixteen year period and if they had a specific question the actual report would be available. He believes with this information we can support the changes that are recommended. He will ask the Planning Board Administrator to send the document from December to the Board of Health.

Ms. Carluccio asked Mr. Slecza his opinion. Mr. Slecza believes data is impossible to substitute so he doesn't believe it's a good idea to give up on taking measurements on an annual basis. He suggested going "somewhere in between", maybe every two years. He believes over three years something may be missed. Ms. Carluccio asked Mr. Slecza if he wanted to make a motion to that effect and advised him that he could if he wanted. Mr. Slecza commented that this is not the end of the discussion. Ms. Carluccio said it is as this will be voted on by the Planning Board at their next meeting and the Board of Health won't be meeting again before then.

Mr. Wang-Iverson said a special Board of Health meeting could be possibly scheduled if Dr. Souza has the review completed.

Mr. Hamilton said he understands what Mr. Slecza is saying and agrees we can miss something which is why questions were asked about the report in the event something changes. Mr. Slecza said prior performance is not an indication of what the future is going to bring. He does not believe The Ridge can show financial hardship that can give an excuse to jeopardize the East Amwell environment. Ms. Carluccio agreed with Mr. Slecza and commented how all the residents use groundwater wells and said in other townships where golf courses no longer have to monitor the township may not have 100% of residents using groundwater wells. Mr. Slecza commented that things can change and management can change. He commented on the constant pressure to play by the rules and pay attention and be careful [if there is an annual plan] and commented on the value of this.

Mr. Wang-Iverson said if the report that The Ridge submits to DEP is submitted to the Township that will give us a handle on the interim period. We should be able to see if they are making any

significant changes to their protocol. Ms. Carluccio commented that there are all sorts of things that could happen including a spill caused by an accident which would cause high levels for a period of time and then the level would be low again. People could be drinking it in their water and not know. Mr. Wang-Iverson and Mr. Hamilton commented that this could be missed depending on what time of the year it happened. Ms. Carluccio said it could be a spill that doesn't mobilize quickly and then could be measured within a year during an annual test. She said there is no foolproof way which is why this was discussed so much when the golf course was first built. She said there is no foolproof way to make sure there are never any adverse effects but this is why you try to make sure there are none by having thorough monitoring. Mr. Slecza commented about that the golf course could be sold next year and a brand new management team could move in that may have completely different ideas about how to do things. Ms. Carluccio said the Board may want to consider saying that if ownership changes the monitoring plan must be revisited and it's not inherited. The Board of Health is basing all of its opinions right now on the present performance and the last 16 years with a consistent team at The Ridge. She commented on the possibility of changes in ownership and management of the property with construction at The Ridge.

Ms. Carluccio asked if anyone would like to make a motion for annual monitoring. Mr. Hamilton said if construction were to occur the Township would find out about it through the permit. The Township would also find out about a change in management after the fact. Mr. Hamilton said the Planning Board should ask in discussions with The Ridge that the Planning Board reserves the right to ask for testing for any particular reason that the Planning Board thinks is appropriate to protect the residents' well water, such as a change in management. This could be put in the agreement with the Planning Board.

Ms. Carluccio said the Board of Health is at the end of this discussion unless anyone has any other motions they want to make for annual maintenance or to make a recommendation that should management change, or any other reason that the Planning Board deems to be a potential for change in operation, that the monitoring plan would be revisited and annual monitoring would be considered. The wording of a possible motion was discussed.

**Mr. Hamilton made a motion that the Board of Health recommend to the Planning Board in their contract to reserve the right to ask for testing in addition to the protocol when the Planning Board feels there's sufficient reason to warrant testing to protect the water supply of East Amwell.**

Ms. Carluccio commented that the Board of Health has this language by statute in their ordinances; it reads to protect the water supply of East Amwell.

The wording of the motion was further discussed.

Ms. Carluccio asked to make an amendment to the motion as follows: **A recommendation that the Planning Board reserve the right to request additional testing when the Planning Board believes there is a risk to the residents' water supply.**

**Ms. Carluccio asked Mr. Van Horn, as he made the original motion, if he would accept this as an amendment. Mr. Van Horn said yes. Mr. Hamilton seconded it.**

**All were in favor; the motion passed.**

D. 3/24/16 e-mail from Zoning Officer re: Current Status Regarding Cleanup B 25 L 11.02

Ms. Rosikiewicz reported that the Zoning Officer advised her this evening that the property owner is moving along with the cleanup. The Zoning Officer sent a second letter and met with the property owner. Comments were made about the property being a junkyard that sheds were put up without permits. It was noted that this is the Zoning Officer's jurisdiction. Mr. Van Horn commented positively about the cleanup.

**ITEMS OF DISCUSSION**

A. Education and Health Issues

Mr. Hamilton commented favorably on the rabies information provided by the County.

B. Board of Health Budget Status as of March 31, 2016

Ms. Rosikiewicz said the budget is fine.

C. Board Secretary's Report

There was no report.

**CORRESPONDENCE**

There were no comments on any correspondence.

**BILLS OF THE EVENING**

**Mr. Van Horn moved the approval of the bills of the evening, seconded by Mr. Hamilton. The motion carried unanimously.**

**OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

Mr. Hamilton reported that the owner of 6 John Ringo Road has allowed her well to be tested for the annual sodium chloride testing. Ms. Carluccio commented favorably on this and thanked Mr. Hamilton. Mr. Hamilton commented on the help he received from Ms. Rosikiewicz and Mr. Tatsch. Ms. Carluccio commented on the importance of this well as it is near the grit yard; no other wells are tested in that area.

**Mr. Van Horn moved to close to the public. Mr. Hamilton seconded the motion. All were in favor; the motion passed.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Mr. Van Horn motioned to adjourn the meeting at 9:22 p.m. Mr. Hamilton seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.**

Respectfully submitted,

Christine Rosikiewicz

Board Secretary

Please note these are draft minutes subject to change upon approval at the May 17<sup>th</sup> regular meeting.